

United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization  
and  
International Atomic Energy Agency  
INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

**ON THE SLOPES OF THE MODULI SPACES OF CURVES**

Sheng-Li Tan<sup>1</sup>  
International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

MIRAMARE – TRIESTE

June 1996

---

<sup>1</sup>Permanent address: Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, People's Republic of China.

## 0. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{M}_g$  be the moduli space of smooth curves of genus  $g$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  the moduli space of stable curves of genus  $g$ . Then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g = \mathcal{M}_g \cup \Delta$ , where  $\Delta = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor} \Delta_i$  and  $\Delta_i$  is the locus of the closure of the stable curves of type  $(i, g-i)$ . Let  $\lambda$  be the class of the Hodge line bundle on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  and  $\delta_i$  the class of  $\Delta_i$ . Let  $\delta = \delta_0 + \frac{1}{2}\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \cdots + \delta_{\lfloor g/2 \rfloor}$ . In the study of the geometry of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ , an important divisor class is  $a\lambda - b\delta$ , e.g., the canonical class  $K_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g} \equiv 13\lambda - 2\delta$ . This class is called effective if  $n(a\lambda - b\delta)$  is effective for sufficiently large  $n$ . Harris and Morrison [9] define the slope of the moduli space as

$$s_g = \inf \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \mid a\lambda - b\delta \text{ is effective, } a, b > 0 \right\}.$$

They proposed an important conjecture on this number (see also [14]):

**Slope conjecture.**

$$s_g \geq 6 + \frac{12}{g+1},$$

with equality if and only if  $g+1$  is composite.

This conjecture would have a number of important consequences. It would show that the Kodaira dimension of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  is  $-\infty$  if and only if  $g \leq 22$ . For the other applications, see [9, 13, 14].

On the other hand, Eisenbud and Harris prove in [8] that  $s_g \leq 6 + 12/(g+1)$  if  $g+1$  is composite. In [10], Harris and Mumford prove that  $s_g \leq 13/2$  if  $g \geq 23$ . As to the lower bound of  $s_g$ , Harris and Morrison [9] prove that there is a positive constant  $c$  such that  $s_g > c/g$  for all  $g \geq 2$ . Chang and Ran prove that  $s_g \geq 13/2$  if  $g \leq 16$ . But the exact values of  $s_g$  are only known for  $g \leq 6$  (see [9, 6]):  $s_1 = 12$ ,  $s_2 = 10$ ,  $s_3 = 9$ ,  $s_4 = 17/2$ ,  $s_5 = 8$  and  $s_6 = 47/6$ . Hence the conjecture is confirmed in these cases. In [2–6], some other lower bounds (not the best possible ones) are also obtained for  $7 \leq g \leq 9$ ,  $g = 15$  and  $16$ .

In the study of the pencils of curves (or fibered surfaces), the number  $s_g$  is also studied from a different point of view by different methods (cf. [1, 11, 12, 17, 21]). In fact, the upper bounds of  $s_g$  are also obtained for  $g \leq 5$  by this method.

The main purpose of this short note is to give the exact value of  $s_g$  for  $g = 7, 8, 9$  and  $11$ . We show that for these  $g$ ,  $s_g = 6 + 12/(g+1)$ . Therefore the slope conjecture is confirmed for  $g \leq 9$  and  $g = 11$ . We prove also that  $s_{10} \geq 7$  and  $s_{12} \geq 41/6$ . As in [9] and [4], we shall give some upper bounds on the slope of a divisor so that it must contain some special subvarieties of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . In particular, we show that any divisor in the  $n$ -canonical system  $|nK|$  of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  contains  $\Delta_i$  with multiplicity  $\geq (21-i)n$  for some  $i$ . All these bounds are better than the known ones.

## 1. Technical Computations and Constructions

Let  $f : S \rightarrow B$  be a fibration of a complex nonsingular projective surface  $S$  over a curve  $B$ . Assume that the generic fiber of  $f$  is a curve of genus  $g$  and there is no  $(-1)$ -curves in a fiber. Then  $f$  induces a map  $\rho : B \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ .

On the moduli space  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ , there are three important classes:  $\lambda$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\kappa$ , where  $\lambda$  is the Hodge divisor class,  $\delta$  the boundary class and  $\kappa = 12\lambda - \delta$ . (For the original definition of  $\kappa$ , see [16]). In the study of the geometry of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ , we usually need to compute the following three numbers for the pencil  $f : S \rightarrow B$ :

$$\lambda B = \deg \rho^* \lambda, \quad \delta B = \deg \rho^* \delta, \quad \kappa B = \deg \rho^* \kappa.$$

Now we consider the computation of these numbers.

For this purpose, we consider the standard relative invariants of  $f$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_f &= \deg f_* \omega_{S/B} = \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) - (g-1)(b-1), \\ K_f^2 &= K_{S/B}^2 = c_1^2(S) - 8(g-1)(b-1), \\ e_f &= \sum_F (\chi_{\text{top}}(F) + 2g - 2) = c_2(S) - 4(g-1)(b-1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $b = g(B)$ . It is well-known that if  $f$  is semistable, then we have

$$\lambda B = \chi_f, \quad \kappa B = K_f^2, \quad \delta B = e_f.$$

In order to do the computation for the non-semistable case, we have to use some new invariants  $I_\chi(f)$ ,  $I_e(f)$  and  $I_K(f)$ , which are introduced in [20] (see also [19]).

First we associate to each singular fiber  $F$  three nonnegative numbers  $c_1^2(F)$ ,  $c_2(F)$  and  $\chi_F$  which can be computed easily by the embedded resolution of  $F$ . One of the three numbers vanishes if and only if  $F$  is semistable. Then we can define

$$\begin{aligned} I_\chi(f) &= \chi_f - \sum_F \chi_F, \\ I_K(f) &= K_f^2 - \sum_F c_1^2(F), \\ I_e(f) &= e_f - \sum_F c_2(F), \end{aligned}$$

where  $F$  runs over all the singular fibers. They are nonnegative.  $I_\chi(f)$  (or  $I_K(f)$ ) vanishes if and only if  $f$  is isotrivial. If  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{C}$  is the pullback fibration of  $f : S \rightarrow C$  under a base change  $\pi : \tilde{B} \rightarrow B$  of degree  $d$ , then

$$I_\chi(\tilde{f}) = dI_\chi(f), \quad I_K(\tilde{f}) = dI_K(f), \quad I_e(\tilde{f}) = dI_e(f).$$

Now we have

**Lemma 1.1.** *For any fibration  $f : S \rightarrow B$  of genus  $g$ , we have*

$$\lambda B = I_\chi(f), \quad \kappa B = I_K(f), \quad \delta B = I_e(f).$$

**Proof.** It is well-known that there exists a finite base change  $\pi : \tilde{B} \rightarrow B$  such that the pullback fibration  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$  of  $f$  is semistable. Therefore, the lemma holds for  $\tilde{f}$ . On the other hand, by definition above and [20, Theorem A'], all of the invariants in this lemma are multiples of the corresponding invariants of  $\tilde{f}$  by  $1/\deg \pi$ . Hence the lemma holds for  $f$ .  $\square$

Our method is as follows. For some  $g$ , we try to find a set  $\Sigma_g$  of non-isotrivial pencils  $f : S \rightarrow B$  such that any generic nonsingular curve of genus  $g$  can be the fiber of a pencil in  $\Sigma_g$ . Then for any effective divisor  $D \equiv a\lambda - b\delta$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ , there is a pencil  $f : S \rightarrow B$  in  $\Sigma_g$  such that the image of  $B$  is not contained in  $D$ . This means that the image of the pencils in  $\Sigma_g$  is dense in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ , we shall say that these pencils fill up  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . Then we have

$$BD =: \deg \rho^* D = a\lambda B - b\delta B \geq 0,$$

hence  $a/b \geq s(B) =: \delta B/\lambda B$ , thus we have  $s_g \geq s(B)$  for some pencils  $f : S \rightarrow B$  in  $\Sigma_g$ .

In our cases, any pencil  $f : S \rightarrow B$  of genus  $i$  in  $\Sigma_i$  has at least two disjoint  $(-1)$ -curves  $E$  and  $E'$  as its sections. From them we can lift this pencil to two pencils of higher genus.

Firstly, if  $g > i$  and  $C$  is a general curve of genus  $g - i$  with a fixed point  $p \in C$ , then we can construct a pencil  $f_1 : S_1 \rightarrow E$  of genus  $g$ . The fiber of  $f_1$  over  $e \in E$  is the curve  $f^{-1}(f(e)) \cup C$  obtained by identifying  $e$  with  $p$ . Now we have some standard formulas (cf. [15 or 6]),

$$E\lambda = B\lambda, \quad E\delta_i = -1, \quad E\delta_j = B\delta_j, \quad (j \neq i, g - i).$$

Note that the  $\lambda$  and  $\delta_i$  in  $E\lambda$  and  $E\delta_i$  are the classes on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . So we have

$$s(E) = s(B) - \frac{1}{\lambda B}.$$

We denote by  $\Sigma_{i,g}^1$  the set of pencils constructed by this method from all pencils in  $\Sigma_i$  and all general curves of genus  $g - i$ . Then we can see that the pencils in  $\Sigma_{i,g}^1$  fill up  $\Delta_i \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ .

The second pencil is constructed by gluing the two sections  $E$  and  $E'$  of  $f : S \rightarrow B$ . Then we obtain a pencil  $f_2 : S_2 \rightarrow B_2$  of genus  $i + 1$  in  $\Delta_0 \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{i+1}$ , where  $B_2$  is isomorphic to  $B$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} B_2\lambda &= B\lambda, & B_2\delta_j &= B\delta_j, & j &\neq 0, 1, i, \\ B_2\delta_1 &= 0, & B_2\delta_0 &= B\delta_0 - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the left hand sides of the equalities are computed in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{i+1}$ . So we have

$$s(B_2) = s(B) - \frac{2}{\lambda B}.$$

We denote by  $\Sigma_{i,i+1}^2$  the set of all the pencils constructed by the second method from  $\Sigma_i$ . Now we can see easily that the pencils in  $\Sigma_{i,i+1}^2$  fill up  $\Delta_0 \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{i+1}$ .

## 2. On the Slope Conjecture

In this section, we shall confirm the slope conjecture for  $g \leq 9$  and  $g = 11$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $3 \leq g \leq 9$  or  $g = 11$ , then  $s_g \geq 6 + 12/(g + 1)$ , with equality if and only if  $g + 1$  is composite.*

**Proof.** We only need to prove this theorem for  $g = 7, 8, 9$  and  $11$ . Note that a generic curve  $H$  of genus  $g = 3, \dots, 9$  or  $11$  can be the hyperplane section of a K3 surface  $X$  of degree  $2g - 2$  in  $\mathbb{P}^g$ . We consider a general (Lefschetz) pencil in  $[H]$ . By blowing up  $X$  at some  $2g - 2$  points, we can obtain a semistable pencil  $f : S \rightarrow B$  of genus  $g$  whose singular fibers are irreducible curves with only one node. Note that

$$c_1^2(S) = 2 - 2g, \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 2, \quad c_2(S) = 22 + 2g, \quad g(B) = 0.$$

By the computation formulas given in previous section, we have

$$\lambda B = g + 1, \quad \delta B = 6(g + 3).$$

We can see easily that these pencils fill up  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ , thus we have  $s_g \geq s(B) = 6 + 12/(g + 1)$ . Note that if  $g = 7, 8, 9$  or  $11$ , then  $g + 1$  is composite. By a result of Eisenbud and Harris in [8], we have  $s_g \leq 6 + 12/(g + 1)$ , so  $s_g = 6 + 12/(g + 1)$ .  $\square$

We denote by  $\Sigma_g$  the set of pencils constructed in the above proof. If  $4 \leq g \leq 10$  or  $g = 12$ , then we can define

$$t_g = \min \{ s(B) \mid B \text{ is a pencil in } \Sigma_{g-1,g}^2 \text{ or } \Sigma_{i,g}^1, i = 1, \dots, [g/2] \}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *If  $4 \leq g \leq 10$  or  $g = 12$ , then  $s_g \geq t_g$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $D \equiv a\lambda - b\delta$  be an effective divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . We need to prove that  $a/b \geq t_g$ . Indeed, if  $D$  does not contain  $\Delta_i$  for some  $0 \leq i \leq [g/2]$ , then there exists a pencil  $B$  in  $\Sigma_{g-1,g}^2$  or  $\Sigma_{i,g}^1$  for some  $i$  such that  $B$  is not contained in  $D$ . Then  $DB \geq 0$ , i.e.,  $a/b \geq s(B) \geq t_g$ . If  $D$  contains all of the  $\Delta_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq [g/2]$ , then there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $D' \equiv a\lambda - (b + n)\delta$  is effective and does not contain  $\Delta = \sum_i \Delta_i$ . Hence

$$\frac{a}{b} > \frac{a}{b + n} \geq t_g.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Note that  $t_g = 6 + 10/g$ . Hence we have

**Corollary 2.3.**

$$s_{10} \geq 7, \quad s_{12} \geq \frac{41}{6}.$$

Note that there is an effective divisor  $\mathcal{K}$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{10}$  with

$$\mathcal{K} \equiv 7\lambda - \delta_0 - b_1\delta_1 - \dots - b_5\delta_5.$$

See [7] for the construction of this divisor.

### 3. Base Locus of Effective Divisors

**Theorem 3.1.** *Fix integer  $i = 3, \dots, 9$ , or  $11$  and  $g \geq i + 1$ . Let  $D \equiv a\lambda - b\delta$  be an effective divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . If  $a/b < 6 + 11/(i + 1)$ , then  $D$  must contain  $\Delta_i$  with multiplicity  $\geq (6i + 17)b - (i + 1)a$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $m$  be the multiplicity of  $\Delta_i$  in  $D$ . Then  $D' = D - m\Delta_i$  does not contain  $\Delta_i$ . We consider a general pencil  $E$  in  $\Sigma_{i,g}^1$ . Then  $E$  is not contained in  $D$ . Hence  $DE \geq 0$ ,

$$m\Delta_i E \leq a\lambda E - b\delta E.$$

Since  $\Delta_i E = -1$ ,  $\lambda E = i + 1$ ,  $\delta E = 6i + 17$ , we have

$$m \geq (6i + 17)b - (i + 1)a.$$

This is what we desired.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $K$  denote the canonical divisor of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . Then for  $i, g$  as in Theorem 3.1, any divisor in the  $n$ -canonical system  $|nK|$  must contain  $\Delta_i$  with multiplicity  $\geq (21 - i)n$ .*

**Proof.** This corollary follows from  $K \equiv 13\lambda - 2\delta$ .  $\square$

Note that Chang and Ran [4] have also given a lower bound on the multiplicity for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$  and  $i = 15$ . For  $i = 3, 4$  and  $5$ , their bounds are respectively  $13n$ ,  $14n$  and  $11n$ , and our bounds are respectively  $19n$ ,  $18n$  and  $17n$ .

#### 4. Trigonal Locus and Slopes of Effective Divisors

Let  $D \equiv a\lambda - b\delta$  be an effective divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . We define the slope of  $D$  as  $s(D) = a/b$ . Harris and Morrison find a function  $s_g(k)$  in [9] such that if  $s(D) < s_g(k)$ , then  $D$  contains the  $k$ -gonal locus. For example,  $s_g(2) = 8 + 4/g$  and  $s_g(3) \sim 72/11$  when  $g$  is big enough. Our next purpose is to give a better bound for the trigonal case.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $g \geq 3$  and let  $D$  be an effective divisor on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ . If  $s(D) < 7 + 6/g$ , then  $D$  contains the trigonal locus.*

**Proof.** We shall use a result of Petri on trigonal curves. Petri proves that all trigonal curves lie on a rational scroll (cf. [18]). If  $C$  is a trigonal curve of genus  $g$ , then it is a trisection in  $\mathbb{F}_k = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-k))$  with  $k \geq 0$ . So  $C$  is contained in the linear system  $|3C_0 + tF|$ , where  $C_0$  is the unique irreducible curve such that  $C_0^2 = -k$ ,  $F$  is a fiber of the ruling  $\mathbb{F}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ , and  $2t - 3k = g + 2$ . We consider the generic trigonal curves of genus  $g$ , then the linear system above is very ample. By choosing a general pencil in  $|C|$  and blowing up  $\mathbb{F}_k$  at  $C^2 = 6k - 9t = 3g + 6$  points, we get a genus  $g$  semistable fibration  $f : S \rightarrow B$ . We have

$$c_1^2(S) = 2 - 3g, \quad c_2(S) = 10 + 3g, \quad \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 1, \quad g(B) = 0.$$

Hence we obtain

$$K_f^2 = 5g - 6, \quad e_f = 7g + 6, \quad \chi_f = g.$$

Consequently,

$$s(B) = 7 + \frac{6}{g}.$$

We let  $\Sigma_g$  be the set of all the pencils constructed above. Then these pencils fill up the trigonal locus of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ .

Now if  $D$  does not contain the trigonal locus, then for a generic pencil  $B$  in  $\Sigma_g$ , the image of  $B$  in  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  is not contained in  $D$ . Thus  $DB \geq 0$ , i.e.,  $s(D) \geq s(B) = 7 + 6/g$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Note that one may prove this theorem by using the generic triple covers of  $\mathbb{F}_k$  such that the induced fibrations are of genus  $g$ . Then all the pencils fill up the trigonal locus.

#### Acknowledgement

I would like to thank the hospitality and financial support of ICTP in Trieste and MSRI in Berkeley during this research. I thank also Prof. S. S. Chern and Prof. M. S. Narasimhan for their encouragements and help. This research is supported by ICTP in Trieste, MSRI in Berkeley, K.C. Wong Education Foundation and the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

## References

1. Z. Chen, *On the lower bound of the slope of a non-hyperelliptic fibration of genus 4*, Intern. J. Math. **4** (1993), no. 3, 367-378.
2. M.-C. Chang, Z. Ran, *Unirationality of the moduli space of curves of genus 11, 13 (and 12)*, Invent. Math. **76** (1984), 41-54.
3. M.-C. Chang, Z. Ran, *The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves of genus 15*, J. Diff. Geom. **24** (1986), 205-220.
4. M.-C. Chang, Z. Ran, *Divisors on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  and the cosmological constant*, in: Mathematical aspects of string theory (S.-T. Yau ed.) (1987), Singapore: World Scientific, 386-393.
5. M.-C. Chang, Z. Ran, *A note on the slope of subvarieties of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{15}$  ( $6\frac{2}{3} \leq S_{15} \leq 6\frac{3}{4}$ )*, in: Mathematical aspects of string theory (S.-T. Yau ed.) (1987), Singapore: World Scientific, 408-411.
6. M.-C. Chang, Z. Ran, *On the slope and Kodaira dimension of  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$  for small  $g$* , J. Diff. Geom. **34** (1991), 267-274.
7. F. Cukierman, D. Ulmer, *Curves of genus ten on K3 surfaces*, Compositio Math. **89** (1993), 81-90.
8. D. Eisenbud, J. Harris, *The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves of genus  $g \geq 23$* , Invent. Math. **90** (1987), 359-388.
9. J. Harris, I. Morrison, *Slopes of effective divisors on the moduli spaces of stable curves*, Invent. Math. **99** (1990), 321-355.
10. J. Harris, D. Mumford, *On the Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of curves*, Invent. Math. **67** (1982), 23-86.
11. E. Horikawa, *Notes on canonical surfaces*, Tohoku Math. J. **43** (1991), 141-148.
12. K. Konno, *Non-hyperelliptic fibrations of small genus and certain irregular canonical surfaces*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **20** (1993), no. 4, 575-595.
13. G. Moore, J. Harris, P. Nelson, I. Singer, *Modular forms and the cosmological constant*, Physics Letters B **178** (1986), no. 2,3, 167-173.
14. I. Morrison, *Subvarieties of moduli spaces of curves: open problems from an algebro-geometric point of view*, in: Mapping class groups and moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces (Gottingen, 1991/Seattle, WA, 1991), Contemp. Math. **150** (1993), Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, R.I., 317-343.
15. D. Mumford, *Stability of projective varieties*, Enseignement Math. **24** (1997), 39-110.
16. D. Mumford, *Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of curves*, in: Arithmetic and geometry, II. Progress in Math. (**36**), Birkhauser: Boston (1983), 271-326.
17. M. Reid, *Problems on pencils of small genus*, preprint 1992.
18. B. Saint-Donat, *On Petri's analysis of the linear system of quadrics through a canonical curve*, Math. Ann. **206** (1973), 157-175.
19. S.-L. Tan, *Height inequality of algebraic points on curves over functional fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **461** (1995), 123-135.
20. S.-L. Tan, *On the invariants of base changes of pencils of curves*, II, to appear in Math. Z..
21. G. Xiao, *Fibered algebraic surfaces with low slope*, Math. Ann. **276** (1987), 449-466.