

€.





INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

PROTON DECAY AS A WINDOW ON HIGHEST ENERGY PHYSICS

Abdus Salam





AGENCY



UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

. .

i t

, ,

IC/81/135

International Atomic Energy Agency and

United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

PROTON DECAY AS A WINDOW ON HIGHEST ENERGY PHYSICS *

Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy

and

Imperial College, London, England

MIRAMARE - TRIESTE August 1981

* Introductory remarks, Proton Decay Session, EPS Conference on High Energy Physics, Lisbon, 14th July, 1981. To be published in the Proceedings.

.

. .

.

÷.

÷.

1 1 1

This session was planned when the organisers heard of the progress of the Indo-Japanese experiment on proton's possible instability. The session will include a report on this and also on other experiments, presently being readied for observations during this year.

It is particularly gratifying to me that Professor Sreekantan , Director of the Tata Institute, Bombay will speak on the Kolar Gold Field Experiment. In November 1973, Professor M.G.K. Menon - then Director of the Tata Institute - and I were attending a United Nations meeting in Geneva. In the summer¹ Pati and I had extended an earlier suggestion² of placing quarks and leptons in the same multiplet of a unifying symmetry $SU_{\rm C}(4)$ to a gauging of this symmetry. This gave as gauge-particles lepto-quarks, which couple to (B-L). Since no massless gauge mesons carrying such a quantum number were known, we had been forced to break the symmetry spontaneously $(\Delta(B-L) \neq 0)$ in order to give masses to lepto-quarks. This meant that the price of gauging quark-lepton symmetry was proton-decay.

Since proton stability is a notion for which our experimental colleagues like Goldhaber and Reines had been principally responsible, we were naturally very keen to interest experimental groups to test this stability more stringently. One of the first converts (in November 1973) was Menon, who persuaded the Indo-Japanese group to build a dedicated experiment at Kolar Gold Fields. It is good therefore that this group is reporting the first few candidate-events for proton decay, as Professor Sreekantan will tell us.

With a true theoretician's extrapolation I shall proceed by assuming that proton decay does occur; my main purpose in these remarks is to reemphasise that, potentially, proton decay offers one of the most important, and for some purposes, an absolutely unique window on high energy physics. Thus I wish to emphasise the <u>long-term</u> aspects of this experiment, in particular its potential:

-1-

- For Investigation of High Mass Scales, inaccessible elsewhere in Physics.
- (2) For Distinguishing between Preonic versus Grand Unifying Models of quarks and leptons.
- (3) And finally, for discovering which Grand Unifying Symmetry (if any) may be operative: is it for example Georgi-Glashow SU(5) or SO(10) or the maximal SU(16), which gauges all possible quantum numbers, that can be associated with the sixteen members $(q, \ell, \bar{q}, \bar{\ell})_L$ of each family.

Mass Scales in Proton Decay

It can be shown that proton decays may be classified into four categories, with the following associated mass scales:

Mass Scale Involved and its Accessibility ³)
10 ⁴ - 10 ⁵ GEV
This mass-scale is also explored in:-
(a) N - N oscillation experiments presently
being mounted;
(b) Highest-energy Cosmic Rays
(200 events/year at 10 ⁵ GEV
3000 events/year at 10 ⁴ GEV);
(c) 10 ⁴ GEV may become available with a super-
conducting P-P or P-F collider which may
be installed in the 27KM tunnel to be
built for LEP in Geneva. But this may
not happen until 2000 A.D.
(d) For reference, note that $\mu \rightarrow e + Y$ at
present levels of accuracy probes down to
10 ⁵ GEV.

- 2 -

(3) P→ℓ ΔB = − ΔL	· 10 ⁸ - 10 ¹⁰ GEV
(example N → e +π)	Even with a laser accelerator of a future design such energies will need an accelerator at least 5000 KM long.
(4) $P \rightarrow \ell \Delta B = \Delta L$ (example $P \rightarrow e^+ + \pi^0$)	$10^{14} - 10^{15}$ GEV Accessible only in early cosmology when the Universe was 10^{-37} secs. from the big bang.

Clearly proton decay experiments provide a unique window on high mass scales*. Where do these mass scales come from? These were first encountered in the context of Grand Unifying theories:

Decay Mode	Mass Scale	Electro-nuclear (Grand) Unifying Models
ΔB = ΔL	10 ¹⁴ - 10 ¹⁵ Gev	As emphasized by Weinberg and Pati (VPD Conference 1980), this follows from gram unifying models G which involve just one mass descent from G→SU(3) x x U(1). This includes ⁴⁾ SU(5), SO(10) = SU(16); the predicted T _p ≈10 ^{31±1.5} yes
$\Delta B = -\Delta L$ $\Delta B = \pm \frac{1}{3}\Delta L$	$10^8 - 10^{10} \text{ GeV}$ $10^4 - 10^5 \text{ GeV}$	A number of electro-nuclear models moti these decays either through gauge (V) o scalar Higgs (S) exchanges. One partic

by Weinberg and Pati (VPI 30), this follows from grand ls G which involve descent from G->SU(3) x SU(2) includes⁴⁾ SU(5), SO(10) and redicted $\tau_{\rho} \approx 10^{31 \pm 1.5}$ years. lectro-nuclear models motivate sither through gauge (V) or (S) exchanges. One particular unified treatment is given by the maximal⁵⁾

An alternative dimensional derivation of these mass scales which does not rely on any particular Grand Unifying Model is given by Weinberg⁶ (and for * To be sure the value of $\sin^2 \theta$ does provide a second window for high mass scales, but unlike proton decay where one is studying the vast numbers of decay modes and their implications for the underlying dynamics, $\sin^2 \theta$ is just one parameter. - 3 -

SU(16).

 $\Delta B = \Delta L$ case by Wilczek and Zee⁷). Assuming SU(3) x SU(2) x U(1), and only "light" particles (q, ℓ) , $(W^{\pm}, Z^{\circ}, \gamma)$, ϕ_{Hiers} one can easily compute the form and dimension (d) of typical Lagrangians for proton decay:-

Δ ^B → Δ ^L	L _{eff}	~	<u>1</u> M²	व व व 🕹	d = 6
ΔB = - ΔL		œ	<u>1</u> M'3	a a a E ¢	d ≈ 7
$\Delta B = -\frac{\Delta L}{3}$		~	1 M#6	q q q ζξξφ -	d = 10
$\Delta B = + \frac{\Delta L}{3}$		×	<u>1</u> M"≁≯	α α αεες φ²	d = 11

The empirical lower limit on proton life gives the masses M, M*, M**. M''' in the ranges already indicated.

The important experimental questions which now arise are the following:-

- Can the four modes listed above co-exist; are there selection rules; (1) what are the relative rates?
- (2) Can they co-exist together with $N = \overline{N}$ oscillations ($\Delta B = 2$) at the present level of experimentation?¹⁰⁾

The answers differ; depending on the assumptions made.

Spontaneous Versus Explicit Symmetry Breaking

One of the major theoretical sources of contrasting selection rules is explicit versus spontaneous breaking of baryon and lepton numbers.

Assuming that baryon-number is explicitly broken, Weinberg⁶⁾, for example, would permit only two out of 4 modes listed above to co-exist - $\Delta B = \Delta L$ and one other mode - but no N - N oscillations. In the maximal SU(16) gauge model, where baryon-number violation is spontaneous⁵⁾, all modes of decay may simultaneously occur, together with N - N oscillations. This contrast can be traced back to the second motivation (besides the gauge unification of leptons and quarks) for baryon-decay viz the observed baryon asymmetry of the Universe. This was first remarked upon by Weinberg⁸ in his 1964 Brandeis Lectures, and independently elaborated by Sakharov⁸ in 1967 (Sakharov actually considered the decay mode $\Delta B = + \frac{\Delta L}{3} (P \rightarrow 3\overline{c})$; this line of work remained unknown until it was rediscovered in the context of electro-nuclear grand unification⁹ in 1978.)

The point about explicit versus spontaneous symmetry breaking is that with explicit breaking a rise in temperature does not - unlike the case for spontaneous breaking - obliterate the decays themselves. In SU(5) or 50(10) gauge theories for example where the baryon-violating current is of the form $(q q + \vec{q} \vec{\epsilon})$, the gauge-boson may indeed make a transition to masslessness with rising temperature, but the decay does not stop. In contrast in the maximal SU(16) theory where there are two distinct gauge mesons, one coupling to (q q) and the other to $(\vec{q} \ \vec{\ell}),$ the decay occurs through a spontaneously induced mixing of these two types of gauge bosons. Beyond the transition temperature, the mixing is obliterated and with it also the baryon-decay mode. The successive proton decay modes $\Delta B = \Delta L$ with mass scale $10^{14} - 10^{15}$ GEV, $\Delta B = -\Delta L$ with $10^8 - 10^{10}$ GEV, and $\Delta B = \pm \frac{\Delta L}{3}$ and $\Delta B = 2$ (N - N oscillations) with mass scales $10^4 - 10^5$ GEV, occur at different epochs in the evolving history of the expanding universe. The requirement that the baryon asymmetry due to the earlier mode does not get washed out when the transition temperature corresponding to the next decay mode is reached leads to different selection rules, depending on the two scenarios of explicit versus spontaneous breaking of symmetries. Clearly, it is essential that we have experimental information on the frequency of all the modes listed.

- 5 -

Preons versus Grand Unified Theories

Are quarks and leptons elementary up to the mass scales indicated, or are all or some of them composites of preons? Does the preonic hypothesis entail its own selection rules? The answer is yes:

Examples: The composite model of Harari and Saiberg¹¹ pundiets only $\Delta(B-L) \neq 0$ decays; the "hyperunification" model of Kim¹² (where (d_R, e_L, ν_L) 's are composite and other quarks and leptans elementary), predicts that nucleon decays produce only charged anti-leptons (e⁺) and that their polarisation is 100%. This is in contrast to man-composite models¹³ where the degree and universality of polarisation (for e's and μ 's) depends on whether the basic couplings of elementary quarks and leptons is gauge vector (∇) or Higgs (S). Note that in some pressic models the mass scale for nucleon decays can be as low¹⁴ as .5~1 TEV.

In this context, another distinction between V and S couplings is provided by the expected predominance of p and h means among the mucleon two-body decay products¹⁵⁾ for the mode $\Delta B = 21$, for the scalar (S) case.

Which Gauge Theory?

Thus far we have talked of distinctions, which essentially are independent of which (if any) unifying gauge model there may be. To decide which gauge theory is operative, one can devise tests; some, which have been suggested are the following¹⁶:-

$$(1+\chi^{2}) \Gamma (P \rightarrow \pi^{+} \overline{\nu}) = \Gamma (P \rightarrow \pi^{\circ} \ell^{+}) = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma (N \rightarrow \pi^{-} e^{+})$$
$$= (1+\chi^{2}) \Gamma (N \rightarrow \pi^{\circ} \overline{\nu})$$
$$\Gamma (P \rightarrow e^{+} + anything) = (1+\chi^{2}) \Gamma (N \rightarrow \overline{\nu})$$

-- 6 --

- r = 2 Tree level SU(5) (plus renormalization corrections which have been evaluated)
- r = 0 For SO(10), with the assumption that nucleon decay is mediated by bosons which are not in SU(5).
- r = 1 SU(2) x SU(2) x U(1) in the first approximation, if this is the electroweak theory much below 10^{15} GEV.

It is important to stress once again that as a rule the larger symmetry, for example SU(16), may give the results of its subgroups SO(10) or SU(5), and SO(10) may give the results of SU(5) depending on the chain of symmetry breaking adopted. The converse, however, is not true.

I hope I have convinced you that the proton decay experiment must be looked upon as a continuing long-range experiment. I hope I have convinced the enterprising experimenters to contemplate acquiring long term leases for occupancy of the Kolar Gold Fields or the Mont Blanc tunnel. I hope I have convinced you that new groups should feel encouraged and that generous funding should be provided for these experiments. Since I see Professor Schopper in front of me, I hope he will kindly take note of this last remark.

REFERENCES

- J.C. Pati and Abdus Salam, Phys. Rev. 31, 661 (1973); Phys. Rev. D10, 275 (1974).
- J.C. Pati and Abdus Salam, "Lepton Hadron Unification", Reported by J.D. Bjorken in the Proceedings of the 15th High Energy Conference held at Batavia Vol 2, p. 304, September (1972), J.C. Pati and Abdus Salam, Phys. Rev. D8, 1240 (1973).
- Abdus Salam, ICTP preprint IC/81/61, Proceedings of the Royal Society A, (1981) to be publiched.

- 7 -

- 4) H. Georgi and S.L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Letters 32, 438 (1974). H. Georgi, Proc. AIP Conf. Williamsburg (1974). H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, Ann. Phys. (NY) 93, 193 (1975).
- 5) J.C. Pati, Abdus Salam and J. Strathdee, Il Nuovo Cimento 26A, 77 (1975); J.C. Pati, Proceedings of the Second Orbis Scientiae Coral Gables, Florida, p. 253, January (1975); J.C. Pati, S. Sakakibara and Abdus Salam ICTP Trieste preprint IC/75/93 (1975) unpublished. J.C. Pati, Abdus Salam and J. Strathdee, ICTP Trieste preprint, IC/80/183 (Nuc. Phys. to appear).
- S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Letters, 43, 1566 (1979); Phys. Rev. D22, 1694 (1980).
- 7) F. Wilczek and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. Letters, 43, 1571 (1979).
- 8) S. Weinberg, Brandeis School (1974), edited by S. Deser and K. Ford.
 A.D. Sakharov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., Pisma 5, 32, 1967 (JETP Lett. 5, 24 (1967)).
- 9) For a full list of references see S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D, 22 (1694), (1980).
- 10) V.A. Kuzmin, Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz, 13, 335, (1970); S.L. Glashow
 Cargese Lectures (1979); R.N. Mohapatra and R.E. Marshak, Phys. Rev.
 Letters, 44, 1316 (1980); L.N. Chang and N.P. Chang CCNY-HEP-80/5 (1980);
 J.C. Pati, Abdus Salam and J. Strathdee, IC/80/183 (1980).
- 11) H. Harari, R.N. Mohapatra, and N. Saiberg, Cern preprint (1981).
- 12) J.E. Kim, Seoul Univ. preprint (1981).
- S. Weinberg (Ref. 6), F. Wilczek and A. Zee (Ref. 7), H. Georgi, S.L.
 Glashow, M. Machacek, Phys. Rev. D23, 783 (1981). K.L. Sarma and V. Singh Tata Institute preprint, TIFR/TH/81-27.
- R. Chanda and P. Ray, Phys. Letters 99, 453 (1981); J.C. Pati, Abdus Salam and J. Strathdee, ICTP preprint IC/80/180 (1980) to appear in Nuc. Phys.
- F. Abbott and M.B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D22, 2208 (1980). W.B. Rolnick, Michigan proprints, submitted to Phys Rev. D(1981), G. Karl and G.L. Kane Phys. Rev. D22, 2808 (1967).
- 16) F. Wilczek and A. Zee (ref. 7).

and the second second

CURRENT ICTP PREPRINTS AND INTERNAL REPORTS

IC/81/3	RAJ K. GUPTA, RAM RAJ and S.B. KHADKIKAR - Proximity potential and the surface energy part of the microscopic nucleus-nucleus interaction with Skyrme force.	IC/81/37 INT.REP.*	H. PRAKASH - Definition and density operator for unpolarized fermion state.
IC/81/9	E.W. MIELKE - Outline of a non-linear, relativistic quantum mechanics of	IC/81/38	H.R. DALAFI - Microscopic description of double back-bending in ¹⁶⁸ Er.
IC/81/10	L. FONDA and N. MANKOC-BORSTNIK - On quantum quadrupole radiation.	IC/81/39 INT.REP.*	B.G. SIDHARTH - Bound state wave functions of singular and transitional potentials.
1C/81/20	N.S. CRAIGIE, V. ROBERTO and D. WHOULD - Gluon helicity distributions from hyperon productions in proton-proton collisions.	IC/81/40	J.A. MAGPANTAY - On the non-existence of extended physical states in the Schwinger model.
IC/81/21	QING CHENGRU and QIN DANHUA - A discussion of the relativistic equal-time equation.	IC/81/41	B.G. SIDHARTH - A recurrence relation for the phase-shifts of exponential and Yukawa potentials.
1C/81/22	Fr. CRISCIANI, G.C. GHIRARDI, A. RIMINI and T. WEBER - Quantum limitations for spin measurements on systems of arbitrary spin.	1C/81/42 INT.REP.*	M.P. TOSI and N.H. MARCH - Liquid direct correlation function, singlet densities and the theory of freezing.
IC/81/23	S.C. LIM - Stochastic quantization of Proca field.	IC/81/43	M.P. TOSI and N.H. MARCH - Theory of freezing of alkali halides and binary
IC/81/24	W. MECKLENBURG - On the zero modes of the internal Birac operator in		elloys.
IC/81/25	SUN HONG-ZHON and HAN QI-ZHI - On the irreducible representations of the	IC/81/44 INT.REP.*	M.P. TOSI and N.H. MARCH - Freezing of ionic melts into super ionic phase.
INT.REP.*	simple Lie group II - The tensor basis for the infinitesimal generators of the exceptional groups. ZHANG YUAN-ZHONG - On the possibility for a fourth test of general relativity in Earth's gravitational field.	IC/81/45 INT.REP.*	B.G. SIDHARTH - Large & behaviour of the phase-shifts.
IC/81/27 INT.REP.*	A.N. PANDEY, Y.KUMAR, U.P. VERMA and D.R. SINGH - Molecular properties of a few organic molecules.	IC/81/46 .	G. CAMPAGNOLI and E. TOSATTI - Self-consistent electronic structure of a model stage-1 graphite acceptor intercalate.
-IC/81/28	E. GAVA, R. JENGO and C. OMERO - On the instanton effect in the finite temperature Yang-Mills theory and in the nonlinear 6-model.	1C/81/47 INT.REP.*	E. MAHDAVI-HEZAVAH Renormalization effects in the SU(16) maximally gauged theory.
. .		IC/81/48	S.C. LIM - Stochastic massless fields I. Integer spin.
IC/81/30 INT.REP.#	G. PASTORE, G . SENATORE and M.P. TOSI - Electric resistivity and structure of liquid alkali metals and alloys as electron-ion plasmas.	IC/81/49	G. ALBERI and Z. BAJZER - Off-shell dominance in rescattering effects for antiproton deuteron annihilation.
1C/81/31	L. MIZRACHI - Duality transformation of a spontaneously broken gauge field.	IC/81/50 INT.REP.	K. TAHIR SHAH - Self-organisation through random input by biological and
1C/81/32	ZHANG YUAN-ZHONG - The approximate solution with torsion for a charged particle in a gauge theory of gravitation.	IC/81/51 INT.REP.*	P. P. SRIVASTAVA - Instanton and Meron solutions for generalized CP^{n-1} model.
IC/81/33 INT.REP.*	W. MECKLENBURG - Massive photons and the equivalence principle.	IC/81/52	G. PASTORE, G. SENATORE and M.P. TOSI - Short-range correlations in multi-
IC/81/34 INT.REP.*	K. TAHIR SHAH - Metric and topology on a non-standard real line and non- standard space-time.	10/01/co	component plasmas.
IC/81/35	H. PRAKASH and N. CHANDRA - Unpolarized state of light revisited.	10/01/53	L. FUNDA - General theory of the ionization of an atom by an electrostatic field.
IC/81/36 INT.REP.*	A.N. SINGH and R.S. PRASAD - Molecular Rydberg transistions in C_2H_4 , HCOOH and HCONH ₂ .	IC/81/54	R. d'AURIA, P. FRÉ and T. REGGE - Group manifold approach to gravity and supergravity theories.
		IC/81/55	L. MASPERI and C. OMERO - Variational approach for the N-state spin and gauge Potts model.
* Inter THESE 1 1-34104	nal Reports: Limited Distribution. PREPRINTS ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE PUBLICATIONS OFFICE, ICTP, PO BOX 586, TRIESTE, ITALY. 9		10

1c/8 1/56	S. NARISON - QCD sum rules for the light quarks vacuum condensate.	'I C/81/79	S.N. PANDEY - Some aspects of gravitational waves in an isotropic
IC/81/57	N.S. CRAIGIE - Spin physics at short distances as a means of studying QCD.	NT.REP.*	background Universe.
10/81/58	E. SOKATCHEV - Irreducibility conditions for extended superfields.	t/ 81/80	E. GAVA and R. JENGO ~ Perturbative evaluation of the thermal Wilson loop.
IC/81/59	J. LUKIERSKI and L. RYTEL - Geometric origin of central charges.	c/81/81	ABDUS SALAM and J. STRATHDEE - On the Olive-Montenon conjecture.
10/81/60	G. ALD'AZABAL, D. BOYANOVSKY, VERA L.V. BALL'AR, L. MASPERÍ and C. OMERO Simple renormalization groups and mean-field methods for Z(N) spin models.	INT.REP.*	
10/81/61	ABDUS SALAM - Gauge interactions, elementarity and superunification.	INT.REP.*	M.P. 1981 - Liquid Aikali metals and Aikali-based alloys as electron- ion plasmas.
IC/81/62 INT.REP.*	J. MAGPANTAY, C. MUKKU and W.A. SAYED - Temperature dependence of critical magnetic fields for the Abelian Higgs model.	IC/81/83	M.A. El-ASHRY - On the theory of parametric excitation of electron plasma.
IC/81/63	RAJ K. GUPTA, NEELAM MALHOTRA and R. AROUMOUGAME - Role of proximity forces in fragmentation potentials for central and oriental heavy-ion collisions.	IC/81/84 INT.REP.*	P. BALLONE, G. SENATORE and M.P. TOSI - On the surface properties of a semi-infinite classical plasma model with permeable boundary.
IC/81/64	Ch. OBCEMEA, P.FROELICH and E.J. BRÄNDAS - Generalized virial relations and the theory of subdynamics.	IC/81/85	G. CALUCCI, R. JENGO and M.T. VALLON - On the quantum field theory of charges and monopoles.
IC/81/65 INT.REP.*	W. MECKLENBURG - Attempts at a geometrical understanding of Higgs fields.		
1C/81/66	N. KUMAR and A.M. JAYANNAVAR - Frequency and temperature dependent mobility of a charged carrier on randomly interrupted strand.	IC/81/87	V.K. DOBREV, A.Ch. GANCHEV and O.I. YORDANOV - Conformal operators from spinor fields - 1: Symmetric tensor case.
IC/81/67	N.S. CRAIGIE - On the hadronic problem in QCD.	1C/81/88	V.K. DOBREV - Stiefel-Skyrme-Higgs models, their classical static solutions and Yang-Mills-Higgs monopoles.
IC/81/68 INT.REP.*	M.P. DAS - Electron structure calculations for heavy atoms: A local density approach.	IC/81/89	Trieste International Symposium on Core Level Excitations in Atoms, Molecules and Solids, 22-26 June 1981 (Extended abstracts),
IC/81/69 INT.REP.*	M.H.A. HASSAN and I.A. ELTAYEB - On the topographic coupling at the core mantle interface.	IC/81/90 INT.REP.*	A. TAWANSI, A.F. EASHA, M.M. MORSI and S.El-KONSOL - Effects of gamma- radiation and rare earth additives on the insulation of sodium silicate
IC/81/70	R. HOJMAN and A. SMAILAGIC - Exact solutions for strong gravity in generalized metrics.	TC /81 /01	glesses.
IC/81/71 INT.REP.#	B.K. AGARWAL - Electromagnetic form factor for a composite proton.	INT.REP.*	the electrical conductivity of γ -irradiated sodium silicate glasses containing multivalence Cu ions.
10/81/72	J. BLANK, M. HAVLICEK, M. BEDNAR and W. LASSNER - Canonical representations of the Lie superalgebra Osp(1,4).		
IC/81/73 INT.REP.*	F.F. GRINSTEIN - Padé approximants and the calculation of spectral functions of solids.		
IC/81/74 INT.REP.*	I.A. ELTAYEB - Hydromagnetic stability of the Kennedy-Higgins model of the Earth's core.		
IC/81/75	S. RANDJBAR-DAEMI - A recursive formula for the evaluation of $\langle \psi T_{\mu\nu}(x)\psi \rangle$ and its application in the semiclassical theory of gravity.		
IC/81/76 INT.REP.*	P. BALLONE, G. SENATORE and M.P. TOSI - Coexistence of vapour-like and liquid-like phases for the classical plasma model.		
IC/81/77 INT.REP.*	K.C. MATHUR, G.P. GUPTA and R.S. PUNDIR - Reduction of the Glauber amplitude for electron impact rotational excitation of quadrupolar molecular ions.		
IC/81/78 INT.REP.*	N. KUMAR and A.M. JAYANNAVAR - A note on the effective medium theory of randomly resistive-reactive medium.		12
	した。 「「「「「「「」」」」「「「「」」」」」「「「」」」」」「「「」」」」」」「「」」」」		

.

•

.

1

•••**