

REFERENCE

47

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

ON GOLDSTONE FERMIONS

Abdus Salam

and

J. Strathdee

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY



UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

1974 MIRAMARE-TRIESTE

IC/74/17

International Atomic Energy Agency

and

United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

ON GOLDSTONE FERMIONS *

Abdus Salam

International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy,

and

Imperial College, London, England,

and

J. Strathdee

International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

ABSTRACT

Spontaneous violation of super-gauge symmetries is considered. One consequence must be the emergence of a particle with spin $\frac{1}{2}$ and mass zero.

MIRAMÀRE - TRIESTE March 1974

* To be submitted for publication.

In two recent papers ^{1),2)} Wess and Zumino have generalized the super-gauge symmetry of dual model theory to apply to fields in 4-dimensional space-time. The most unusual feature of super-gauge symmetries is that they combine fermions with bosons in the same multiplet. This means that some of the conserved currents which generate these symmetries must be fermionic. With respect to the Lorentz group, these currents, $J_{\mu\alpha}$, will transform like the product of a vector and a Dirac spinor. Their components are a mixture of spins 1/2 and 3/2.

The unitary irreducible representations ³⁾ of the super-gauge symmetry are characterized by three quantum numbers: the mass M, "spin" f, and parity η . If M is non-vanishing, then the (spin)^{parity} content is $(f - \frac{1}{2})^{\eta}$, $f^{i\eta}$, $f^{-i\eta}$ and $(f + \frac{1}{2})^{\eta}$. If M = 0, then the helicity content is f, $f + \frac{1}{2}$ and -f, $-(f + \frac{1}{2})$.

The purpose of this note is to consider what happens if the vacuum is degenerate, i.e. not a super-gauge singlet. It is natural to expect, on the one hand, a lifting of the mass degeneracy in the previously irreducible multiplets and, on the other, a Goldstone phenomenon. In fact, the immediate implication would be that the system must contain a zero-mass fermion of spin 1/2 because of the following general argument. The action of an infinitesimal super-gauge transformation, ε_{α} , on the Dirac spinor field, ψ_{α} , is expressed formally by

$$\delta \psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) = \left[\psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) , \int \mathbf{a}_{3} \mathbf{x}' \ \mathbf{\bar{e}}^{\beta} J_{0\beta}(\mathbf{x}') \right] .$$
 (1)

Of particular relevance is the vacuum expectation value of this equation, which can be expressed in the form

$$\partial_{\mu} \langle \mathbf{T}^{*} \mathbf{\bar{\epsilon}}^{\beta} \mathbf{J}_{\mu\beta}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{0}) \rangle = -\delta_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) \langle \delta \psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{0}) \rangle \qquad (2)$$

This equation is equivalent to (1) if the vacuum is translation invariant and if the current is local and conserved. Now, if the vacuum is not super-gauge invariant, then $\langle \delta \Psi_{\alpha} \rangle$ will not vanish; instead it will have the form

$$\langle \delta \psi_{\alpha} \rangle = \langle F \rangle \varepsilon_{\alpha}$$

$$= \langle F \rangle c_{\alpha\beta} \overline{\varepsilon}^{\beta} ,$$

$$(3)$$

where $C_{\alpha\beta}$ denotes the charge conjugation matrix.⁴ The non-vanishing coefficient $\langle F \rangle$ which appears here is to be interpreted as the vacuum expectation value of some scalar field to which ψ is related by super-gauge transformations. Thus we have the Ward identity,

$$\partial_{\mu} \langle T^* J_{\mu\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) \psi_{\beta}(\mathbf{0}) \rangle = c_{\alpha\beta} \langle F \rangle \delta_{\mu}(\mathbf{x})$$
 (4)

-2-

Define the momentum-space transform of this amplitude and resolve it into invariant components,

$$\int d\mathbf{x} \ e^{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}\mathbf{x}} \langle \mathbf{T}^* \ \mathbf{J}_{\mu\alpha}(\mathbf{x}) \ \psi_{\beta}(\mathbf{0}) \rangle = M_{\mu\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{k})$$
$$= M_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}^2) \ \mathbf{k}_{\mu} \ \mathbf{C}_{\alpha\beta}$$
$$+ \left(M_{2}(\mathbf{k}^2) \ \eta_{\mu\nu} + M_{3}(\mathbf{k}^2)\mathbf{k}_{\mu}\mathbf{k}_{\nu} \right) (\gamma_{\nu}\mathbf{C})_{\alpha\beta}$$
$$+ M_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}^2) \ \mathbf{k}_{\nu}(\sigma_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{C})_{\alpha\beta} \qquad (5)$$

It follows from (4) that the invariant components are constrained by the equations

$$k^{2}M_{1}(k^{2}) = i\langle F \rangle$$

$$M_{2}(k^{2}) + k^{2}M_{3}(k^{2}) = 0$$
(6)

The second of these equations serves only to eliminate M_2 from the decomposition (5). The first equation gives the explicit form of $M_1(k^2)$, viz. a simple zero-mass pole with residue $i\langle F \rangle$. This indicates that the intermediate states which contribute to $M_{\mu\alpha\beta}$ must include a massless particle of spin 1/2: a Goldstone fermion.

The notion of a Goldstone fermion is highly attractive since it might provide a fundamental theory of the neutrino. We have tested the idea in the context of a simple Lagrangian model but have, however, come upon a dilemma, at least so far as the tree approximation goes. The model we consider is that of Wess and Zumino, which employs a multiplet of eight real components: two scalars, A, F, two pseudoscalars, B, G, and a Majorana spinor, ψ . They transform according to

$$\delta A = \varepsilon \psi$$

$$\delta B = \overline{\varepsilon} \gamma_5 \psi$$

$$\delta \psi = (F + G\gamma_5)\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}(A + B\gamma_5)\varepsilon$$
(7)

$$\delta F = -\frac{1}{2} \overline{\varepsilon} \mathcal{J} \psi$$

$$\delta G = -\frac{1}{2} \overline{\varepsilon} \gamma_5 \mathcal{J} \psi$$

The Lagrangian (which is invariant up to a 4-divergence) is given by

and the second sec

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{0} + \mathcal{L}_{m} + \mathcal{L}_{g} + \mathcal{L}_{\lambda} , \qquad (8)$$

-3-

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{0} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} A)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} B)^{2} + \overline{\psi} i \not{\delta} \psi + 2(F^{2} + G^{2})$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{m} = 2m(AF + BG - \frac{1}{2} \overline{\psi} \psi)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{g} = g \left[(A^{2} - B^{2})F + 2ABG - \overline{\psi} (A - B\gamma_{5})\psi \right]$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda} = \lambda F \qquad .$$
(9)

The conserved Noether current is given by (suppressing the Dirac index):

$$J_{\mu} = \mathscr{D}(A - B\gamma_{5}) \gamma_{\mu} \psi + im(A + B\gamma_{5})\gamma_{\mu} \psi$$
$$+ \frac{i}{2} g(A + B\gamma_{5})^{2} \gamma_{\mu} \psi - \frac{i}{2} \lambda \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \psi \quad . \tag{10}$$

The algebraic variables F and G are redundant. They can be eliminated by using the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations *)

$$0 = 4F + 2mA + g(A^{2} - B^{2}) + \lambda$$

$$0 = 4G + 2mB + 2gAB .$$
(11)

When this is done the Lagrangian assumes the form

$$\mathcal{L}' = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} A)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} B)^{2} + \overline{\psi} (i \partial - m) \psi - g \overline{\psi} (A - B \gamma_{5}) \psi - V(A, B) , \qquad (12)$$

where the potential V is given by

$$V = \frac{m^2}{2} \left[A + \frac{\lambda}{2m} \right]^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} B^2 + \frac{g\lambda}{4} (A^2 - B^2) + \frac{mg}{2} A(A^2 + B^2) + \frac{g^2}{8} \left[A^2 + B^2 \right]^2$$
(13)

One can now proceed in the standard fashion to find the extrema of V. The ground states selected in this way must then be tested for stability by computing the particle masses.

There are three solutions. We list the values of $\langle A \rangle$, $\langle B \rangle$, $\langle F \rangle$ and $\langle G \rangle$ as well as m_A^2 , m_B^2 and m_U .

^{*)} These equations may be used to eliminate F and G from the transformation laws (7) which then become <u>non-linear</u>. In other words, the Lagrangian (12) is invariant (up to a 4-divergence) under a non-linear realization of the supergauge symmetry.

	< A >	 B>	<"F>	⟨G⟩	m ² A	m ² B	^m ų
I _±	-m/g	$\pm \frac{m}{g}\sqrt{\frac{g\lambda}{m^2}-1}$	0	0	gλ-m ²	gλ-m ²	$\pm \sqrt{g\lambda - m^2}$
II	-m/g	0	$\frac{m^2}{4g} - \frac{\lambda}{4}$	0	$\frac{1}{2}(g\lambda - m^2)$	$-\frac{1}{2}(g\lambda-m^2)$	0
III _±	$-\frac{m}{g}\left[1\pm\sqrt{1-\frac{g\lambda}{m^2}}\right]$	• 0	0	0	m ² -gλ	m ² -gλ	±√m²-gλ

The only stable solutions ^{*}) are I_{\pm} (for $m^2 < g\lambda$) and III_{\pm} (for $m^2 > g\lambda$). Both of these are symmetry preserving, i.e. $\langle F \rangle = 0$. The Goldstone solution II is unstable since either A or B is a tachyon. We therefore conclude that the Lagrangian (8) cannot support a vacuum asymmetry, at least in the tree approximation here considered.

There are two possible escapes from this dilemma. One, we may need to go beyond the tree approximation 5 **), or alternatively, that we have chosen the wrong super-gauge multiplet (and the wrong Lagrangian) to implement the notion of Goldstone fermions. The particle may in fact belong not to the multiplet with f = 0, but to either of the multiplets with $f = \frac{1}{2}$ and f = 1.

**) It is well known that in order to generate Goldstone solutions <u>in a tree</u> <u>approximation</u>, one must arrange the sequence of signs in the potential in a favourable manner. In the case considered in the text, the super-gauge symmetry has already fixed all the signs for us.

-5-

"哈哈"的 医静脉病

^{*)} The two solutions denoted I₊ and I₋ are equivalent. One can be brought into the other by a field redefinition. Likewise for III₊ and III₋. The solution I does not violate parity but rather imposes a redefinition of the parity operator. For the special value of λ given by $\lambda = m^2/g$, note, however, the existence of the degenerate solution $\langle A \rangle \neq 0$, but $\langle B \rangle = \langle F \rangle = \langle G \rangle = m_A = m_B = m_H = 0$.

REFERENCES

- 1) J. Wess and B. Zumino, CERN preprint TH.1753 (1973), to appear in Nucl. Phys.
- 2) J. Wess and B. Zumino, CERN preprint TH.1794 (1973), to appear in Nucl. Phys.
- 3) Abdus Salam and J. Strathdee, ICTP, Trieste, preprint IC/74/16.
- 4) For the notational conventions used here see: Abdus Salam and J. Strathdee, ICTP Trieste, preprint IC/74/11.

-6-

5) S. Coleman and E. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. <u>D7</u>, 1888 (1973).

IC/73/139	F.A. BUOT: Comments on Weyl transform and
INT.REP.	the magnetic susceptibility of a relativistic Dirac electron gas (A comment on a paper th at appeared in Physical Review A8, 1570 (1973)).

- IC/73/140^{*} F.A. BUOT: Magnetic susceptibility of dilute INT.REP. non-magnetic alloys.
- IC/73/156 M.A. RASHID: Simple expression for the linear boson transformation coefficients II.
- IC/73/157^{**} S.N. BEHERA and R.S. TRIPATHI: Far infra-INT.REP. re d absorption in imperfect crystals: Low concentration theory.
- JC /73/158 R.S. TRIPATHI and S.N. BE HERA: Phonons in mixed crystals: A self-consistent theory including mass and force constant changes.
- IC/73/159 C. ARAGONE, A. RESTUCCIA and R. SIRI: Gravidynamics of linear spin-two neutral fields.
- IC/73/160^{**} B.K. AGARWAL: A model for the electro-INT.REP. magnetic structure of the nucleon.
- IC/73/161 F. ERDAS, A. POMPEI and P. QUARATI: Photodisintegration of ³He and Schiff wave function.
- IC/73/162 A. VITTURI and F. ZARDI: A general framework for nuclear resonance theories in terms of the Bloch formalism.
- IC/73/163^{**} D.G. FAKIROV: A local spectral function INT.REP. of the matrix element

 $\langle 0 | \left[A^{1+i2}_{\mu}(x), A^{1-i2}_{\nu}(0) \right] | \rho^{0}(\mathbf{p}, \lambda) \rangle$

IC/73/164 M. RAHMAN, D. HUSAIN and S. ALL: Separable potential for $\alpha - \alpha$ interaction.

IC/73/166* INT.REP.	S. GABRAKOV, A.A. KULIEV and H. SCHULZ: Fermi and Gamow- Teller excited states in deformed nuclei.
IC/73/167* INT.REP. IC/73/168* INT.REP.	S.J. HAKIM, F. LEGOVINI and N. PAVER: K_{23} decay and chiral symmetry breaking. S. GABRAKOV, Z.BOCHNACKI and E. BALBUTSEV: On the dependence of pairing strength on deformation.
IC/73/169* INT.REP.	B.E.J. PAGEL: Chemical history of the galaxy.
IC/73/170	H. STREMNITZER: Generalized current algebra including first- and second- class currents.

- IC/73/171 G. COCHO, M. GREGORIO, J. LEON and P. ROTELLI: A study of shadowing in heavy nuclei.
- IC/73/172 S. de BARROS, M.J. BECHARA, T. BORELLO-LEWIN and V. PAAR: Spectroscopic factors of negativeparity multiplet states in odd Sn isotopes.
- IC/73/173 G. ALBERI and L.P. ROSA: Pion production on deuteron.
- IC/73/174 R. KOTECKÝ and J. NIEDERLE: Conformally covariant field equations - I: First-order equations with non-vanishing mass.
- IC/73/175^{*} J. SARFATT: Explanation for the asymmetry between INT.REP. matter and antimatter in the visible universe.
- IC/73/176 ABDUS SALAM and J. STRATHDEE: Coupling constants are not arbitrary in asymptotically free theories.
- IC/73/177^{*} A.Y. ABUL-MAGD and K.I. EL-ABED: Energy INT.REP. spectra of heavy-ion reaction products.
- IC/73/178^{*} M.A. RASHID: Powers of a matrix. INT.REP.
- IC/73/179^{*} M.S. HUSSEIN: A formula for the fluctuation cross-INT.REP. section of nuclear reactions at low energies.
- IC/73/180^{**} S.A. AFZAL, D. HUSAIN and M. RAHMAN: INT.REP. A remark on the Perey effect.
- IC/73/181* B. MARKOVSKI: On the dead time corrections INT.REP. in special cases.
- IC/73/182 D.A. SARDELIS: Minimum size of charged particles in general relativity.
- IC/73/183 L. BERTOCCHI and A. TÉKOU: Fermi-motion effects in neutron stripping cross-section from relativistic deuteron-nucleus collisions.
- IC/73/184 L. BERTOCCHI and A. TÉKOU: Inclusive pion production off nuclei - I: Proton beams.
- IC/73/185^{**} S.A. AFZAL, S. ALI and M. RAHMAN: A note INT.REP. on the anatomy of the s-wave α - α interaction.

IC/73/186 D.A. SARDELIS: The tree graphs of quantum gravity and the Reissner-Nordstrom solution.

IC/73/188 P. BUDINI: On gauge fields as bound states.

* Internal Reports: Limited distribution.

THESE PREPRINTS ARE AVAILABLE FROM THE PUBLICATIONS OFFICE, ICTP, P.O. BOX 586, I-34100 TRIESTE, ITALY. IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO WRITE TO THE AUTHORS.