IC/74/11

REFERENCE

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

SUPER-GAUGE TRANSFORMATIONS

Abdus Salam

and

J. Strathdee

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY

UNITED NATIONS EDUCATIONAL, SCIENTIFIC AND CULTURAL ORGANIZATION

1974 MIRAMARE-TRIESTE

IC/74/11

International Atomic Energy Agency
and
United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS

SUPER-GAUGE TRANSFORMATIONS *

Abdus Salam
International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy,
and
Imperial College, London, England,

and

J. Strathdee
International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy.

ABSTRACT

A systematic method for constructing Wess-Zumino super-gauge transformations is exhibited.

MIRAMARE - TRIESTE
January 1974

* To be submitted for publication.

In a recent article Wess and Zumino [1] have invented an interesting new symmetry. Generalizing from the dual model super-gauge symmetry [2] these authors succeeded in defining an analogous transformation group in four-dimensional space-time. This invention is quite remarkable in at least two respects: 1) the irreducible representations of this symmetry combine fermions with bosons and 2) the strictures of O'Raifeartaigh's theorem are circumvented - we seem to have here a relativistic spin-containing symmetry which is consistent with unitarity *). Moreover, in a simple Lagrangian model involving two scalars and a Majorana spinor, Wess and Zumino found that, in the one-loop approximation, there is only one (logarithmic) divergence [3].

The purpose of this paper is to present a rather simple method which can be used for the construction of at least some of the representations of this symmetry.

We shall confine our considerations to the 14-parameter subalgebra of the Wess-Zumino system which is generated by the Poincaré operators $J_{\mu\nu}$, P_μ and the Majorana spinor S_α. In addition to the usual commutation rules involving $J_{\mu\nu}$ and P_μ only, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [S_\alpha, P_\mu] &= 0 \ , \\ [S_\alpha, J_{\mu\nu}] &= \tfrac{1}{2} (\sigma_{\mu\nu})_\alpha{}^\beta \, S_\beta \ , \\ \{S_\alpha, S_\beta\} &= (\gamma_\mu C)_{\alpha\beta} \, P_\mu \ , \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

---

*) The group of Wess and Zumino can be looked upon as a sort of quasi-U(2,3): the set of unitary 5 x 5 matrices, g, whose elements $g_\alpha{}^\beta$, $\alpha,\beta = 1,2,3,4$, and $g_5{}^5$ are ordinary complex numbers while $g_\alpha{}^5$ and $g_5{}^\alpha$ are anticommuting c-numbers. The subgroup SU(2,2) x U(1) of the ordinary sort is identified with the product of the 15-parameter conformal group of space-time and a 1-parameter group of γ_5 transformations. The anticommuting parts are identified with super-gauge transformations. Looked at in this way an immediate generalization to U(2,4) (or U(2,5)) is suggested. The ordinary subgroup SU(2,2) x U(2) (or SU(2,2) x U(3)) might then be said to include a strictly internal SU(2) (or SU(3)) symmetry. Contrasted with this marriage of internal symmetries with space-time symmetries, one may also consider a rather trivial generalization of Wess and Zumino's work where each one of their fields is considered as (for example) the adjoint representation of an internal symmetry U(n).

where C denotes the charge conjugation matrix *). The last of these rules can be expressed in the alternative version

$$[\bar{\varepsilon}_1 S, \bar{S}\varepsilon_2] = -\bar{\varepsilon}_1 \gamma_\mu \varepsilon_2 P_\mu , \qquad (2)$$

where ε_1 and ε_2 are two arbitrary Majorana spinors which anticommute with one another and with S. (Notice that $\bar{\varepsilon}S = \bar{S}\varepsilon$ is a hermitian operator and that $\bar{\varepsilon}_1\gamma_\mu\varepsilon_2 = -\bar{\varepsilon}_2\gamma_\mu\varepsilon_1$ is an imaginary 4-vector *).)

Our basic approach is to work out the group action on the space of left cosets with respect to the subgroup of homogeneous Lorentz transformations. This "space" is essentially eight-dimensional, being parametrized by the 4-vector x_μ and the (anticommuting c-number) Majorana spinor θ_α. A simple way to obtain the group action on this homogeneous space is to define the unitary operators

$$L(x,\theta) = \exp[ix_\mu P_\mu] \exp[i\bar{\theta}^\alpha S_\alpha] \qquad (3)$$

and consider what happens to them when any one of the operators representing, respectively, a translation, a homogeneous Lorentz transformation or a super-gauge transformation is applied on the left. One finds,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp[iC_\mu P_\mu]\, L(x,\theta) &= L(x+C,\theta) \\ \exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}J_{\mu\nu}\right] L(x,\theta) &= L(\Lambda x, a(\Lambda)\theta) \exp\left[\frac{i}{2}\omega_{\mu\nu}J_{\mu\nu}\right] \\ \exp[i\bar{\varepsilon}S]\; L(x,\theta) &= L\left(x_\mu - \frac{i}{2}\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_\mu\theta, \theta+\varepsilon\right) , \end{aligned} \qquad (4)$$

---

*) Our notational conventions are as follows. The Dirac matrices satisfy $(1/2)\{\gamma_\mu,\gamma_\nu\} = \eta_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}\,(+---)$ and adjoint spinors are defined by $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma_0$. The matrices γ_0, $\gamma_0\gamma_\mu$, $\gamma_0\sigma_{\mu\nu}$, $\gamma_0 i\gamma_\mu\gamma_5$, $\gamma_0\gamma_5$ are hermitian. The charge conjugate of ψ is defined by $\psi^c = C\bar{\psi}^T$ where $C^T = -C$ and $C^{-1}\gamma_\mu C = -\gamma_\mu^T$. By a Majorana spinor we mean $\psi^c = \psi$. It is useful to remember that the matrices $\gamma_\mu C$ and $\sigma_{\mu\nu}C = (i/2)[\gamma_\mu,\gamma_\nu]C$ are symmetric while C, $\gamma_5 C$ and $i\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 C$ are antisymmetric. In particular, it follows that $\bar{\psi}_1\psi_2 = \bar{\psi}_2\psi_1$, $\bar{\psi}_1\gamma_\mu\psi_2 = -\bar{\psi}_2\gamma_\mu\psi_1$, $\bar{\psi}_1\sigma_{\mu\nu}\psi_2 = -\bar{\psi}_2\sigma_{\mu\nu}\psi_1$, $\bar{\psi}_1 i\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\psi_2 = \bar{\psi}_2 i\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\psi_1$, $\bar{\psi}_1\gamma_5\psi_2 = \bar{\psi}_2\gamma_5\psi_1$ if ψ_1 and ψ_2 are anticommuting Majorana spinors.

where $a(\Lambda) = \exp(i/4)\,\omega_{\mu\nu}\,\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ denotes the usual spinor representation of the homogeneous Lorentz group *). (Notice that, because of the Majorana constraints on ε and θ, the displacement in x_μ caused by a super-gauge transformation is real.) Eqs.(4) serve to define the action of the group on the space of the parameters x and θ and indicate how any field defined over this space should transform. Thus, for example, the scalar super-field $\Phi(x,\theta)$ should satisfy

$$\exp[i\bar{\varepsilon}S]\;\;\Phi(x,\theta)\;\exp[-i\bar{\varepsilon}S] \;=\; \Phi\Big(x - \frac{i}{2}\,\bar{\varepsilon}\,\gamma_\mu\theta,\;\theta+\varepsilon\Big) \quad . \qquad (5)$$

By appending a Lorentz index **) one could define a vector super-field $\Phi_\mu(x,\theta)$, a spinor super-field $\Psi_\alpha(x,\theta)$, etc.

If we were dealing with an arbitrary group then we should not be very pleased with fields defined on an eight-dimensional space-time. It was this aspect of the old attempts at combining internal symmetries in a non-trivial way with the Poincaré group which hindered their development. The truly remarkable and exciting feature of the Wess-Zumino group is that the <u>super-field $\Phi(x,\theta)$ in eight dimensions is exactly equivalent to a 16-component set of ordinary fields in four dimensions</u>. One simply has to expand Φ in powers of of θ_α and observe that the series must terminate in the fourth order. This is due, of course, to the fact that the monomials

$$\theta_{\alpha_1}\,\theta_{\alpha_2},\ldots,\theta_{\alpha_n}$$

must be completely antisymmetric and therefore vanish for $n > 4$. Therefore we can write

$$\Phi(x,\theta) = \bar{\phi}(x) + \bar{\phi}^{\alpha}(x)\,\theta_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\,\bar{\phi}^{[\alpha\beta]}(x)\,\theta_\beta\,\theta_\alpha + \frac{1}{6}\,\bar{\phi}^{[\alpha\beta\gamma]}(x)\,\theta_\gamma\,\theta_\beta\,\theta_\alpha + \frac{1}{24}\,\bar{\phi}^{[\alpha\beta\gamma\delta]}\,\theta_\delta\,\theta_\gamma\,\theta_\beta\,\theta_\alpha \quad . \qquad (6)$$

The number of independent real components involved here can be halved by imposing the reality condition

$$\Phi(x,\theta)^* \;=\; \Phi(x,\theta) \quad . \qquad (7)$$

---

*) Space reflections are incorporated by requiring that θ transform according to the rule $\theta \to i\gamma_0\theta$. Likewise for dilatations, $x \to \lambda x$, $\theta \to \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\theta$ and γ_5 transformations, $\theta \to (\cos\alpha + \gamma_5 \sin\alpha)\theta$ with real α.

**) The super-gauge transformations induce no Lorentz transformation.

which reads, in terms of the component fields,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(x) &= \bar{\phi}(x) \\
\phi_\alpha(x) &= C_{\alpha\alpha'}\, \bar{\phi}^{\alpha'}(x) \\
\phi_{[\alpha\beta]}(x) &= -C_{\alpha\alpha'}\, C_{\beta\beta'}\, \bar{\phi}^{[\alpha'\beta']}(x) \\
\phi_{[\alpha\beta\gamma]}(x) &= -C_{\alpha\alpha'}\, C_{\beta\beta'}\, C_{\gamma\gamma'}\, \bar{\phi}^{[\alpha'\beta'\gamma']}(x) \\
\phi_{[\alpha\beta\gamma\delta]}(x) &= C_{\alpha\alpha'}\, C_{\beta\beta'}\, C_{\gamma\gamma'}\, C_{\delta\delta'}\, \bar{\phi}^{[\alpha'\beta'\gamma'\delta']}(x) \quad ,
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where the barred quantities are defined in the usual way, $\bar{\phi}(x) = \phi(x)^*$, $\bar{\phi}^\alpha(x) = \phi_\beta(x)^* \, (\gamma_0)_\beta{}^\alpha$, $\bar{\phi}^{[\alpha\beta]}(x) = \phi_{[\alpha'\beta']}(x)^* \, (\gamma_0)_{\alpha'}{}^{\alpha} \, (\gamma_0)_{\beta'}{}^{\beta}$, etc.

The behaviour of these components under an infinitesimal super-gauge transformation can be extracted from (5). One finds

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\bar{\phi} &= \bar{\phi}^\alpha \, \varepsilon_\alpha \\
\delta\bar{\phi}^\beta &= -\bar{\phi}^{[\alpha\beta]} \, \varepsilon_\alpha - \tfrac{i}{2} \, (\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_\mu)^\beta \, \partial_\mu \bar{\phi} \\
\delta\bar{\phi}^{[\beta\gamma]} &= \bar{\phi}^{[\alpha\beta\gamma]} \, \varepsilon_\alpha + \tfrac{i}{2} \, (\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_\mu)^\gamma \, \partial_\mu \bar{\phi}^\beta - \tfrac{i}{2} \, (\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_\mu)^\beta \, \partial_\mu \bar{\phi}^\gamma \\
\delta\bar{\phi}^{[\beta\gamma\delta]} &= -\phi^{[\alpha\beta\gamma\delta]} \, \varepsilon_\alpha - \tfrac{i}{2} \, (\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_\mu)^\delta \, \partial_\mu \bar{\phi}^{[\beta\gamma]} - \tfrac{i}{2} \, (\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_\mu)^\gamma \, \partial_\mu \bar{\phi}^{[\delta\beta]} - \tfrac{i}{2} \, (\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_\mu)^\beta \, \partial_\mu \bar{\phi}^{[\gamma\delta]} \\
\delta\bar{\phi}^{[\alpha\beta\gamma\delta]} &= \tfrac{i}{2} \, (\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_\mu)^\delta \, \partial_\mu \bar{\phi}^{[\alpha\beta\gamma]} - \tfrac{i}{2} \, (\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_\mu)^\gamma \, \partial_\mu \bar{\phi}^{[\delta\alpha\beta]} \\
&\qquad + \tfrac{i}{2} \, (\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_\mu)^\beta \, \partial_\mu \bar{\phi}^{[\gamma\delta\alpha]} - \tfrac{i}{2} \, (\bar{\varepsilon}\gamma_\mu)^\alpha \, \partial_\mu \bar{\phi}^{[\beta\gamma\delta]} \quad .
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

(These rules imply, in particular, that the space-time integral of the component $\phi_{[\alpha\beta\gamma\delta]}$ should be an invariant if surface effects can be neglected.) The representation (9) turns out to be reducible (although not fully reducible). To see this, it is convenient to introduce a new notation for the components.

Write

$$\bar{\phi}(x) = A(x)$$

$$\bar{\phi}^{\alpha}(x) = \bar{\psi}^{\alpha}(x)$$

$$\bar{\phi}^{[\alpha\beta]}(x) = (C^{-1})^{\alpha\beta} F(x) + (C^{-1}\gamma_5)^{\alpha\beta} G(x) + (C^{-1}i\gamma_\mu\gamma_5)^{\alpha\beta} \left[a_\mu(x) + \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_\mu B(x)\right]$$

$$\bar{\phi}^{[\alpha\beta\gamma]}(x) = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \left[\lambda_\delta(x) + \tfrac{i}{2}(\gamma_\mu)_\delta{}^{\delta'} \partial_\mu \psi_{\delta'}(x)\right]$$

$$\bar{\phi}^{[\alpha\beta\gamma\delta]}(x) = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \left[D(x) - \tfrac{1}{4}\partial^2 A(x)\right] \quad . \tag{10}$$

If the reality conditions (8) are imposed then all boson components are real and the fermion components ψ and λ are Majorana spinors. The axial-vector field a_μ is constrained to be transverse, $\partial_\mu a_\mu = 0$. The transformation rules (9) now take the form *)

$$\delta A = \bar{\epsilon}\psi$$

$$\delta B = \bar{\epsilon}\gamma_5\psi - \frac{i}{\partial^2}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_5 \not{\partial}\lambda$$

$$\delta\psi = \tfrac{i}{2}\partial_\mu A\gamma_\mu\epsilon + \tfrac{i}{2}\partial_\mu B\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\epsilon + F\epsilon + G\gamma_5\epsilon + a_\mu i\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\epsilon$$

$$\delta F = \tfrac{i}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\not{\partial}\psi + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\lambda$$

$$\delta G = \tfrac{i}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_5\not{\partial}\psi + \tfrac{1}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_5\lambda \tag{11}$$

$$\delta\lambda = D\epsilon + \tfrac{i}{4}\left(\partial_\mu a_\nu - \partial_\nu a_\mu\right)\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma_5\epsilon$$

$$\delta a_\mu = \tfrac{i}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\lambda - \tfrac{i}{2}\frac{\partial_\mu\partial_\nu}{\partial^2}\bar{\epsilon}\gamma_\nu\gamma_5\lambda$$

$$\delta D = \tfrac{i}{2}\bar{\epsilon}\not{\partial}\lambda \tag{12}$$

---

*) Some of the details in these rules are affected by conventions in the definition of C . Our C is defined such that $(1/2)\,\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} C_{\gamma\delta} = -(C^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}$, $(1/2)\,\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(\gamma_5 C)_{\gamma\delta} = +(C^{-1}\gamma_5)^{\alpha\beta}$ and $(1/2)\,\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(i\gamma_\mu\gamma_5 C)_{\gamma\delta} = +(C^{-1}i\gamma_\mu\gamma_5)^{\alpha\beta}$.

and it appears that we are dealing with one of those curious representations that does not reduce in the usual way. The eight independent components in the set D, λ and a_μ ($\partial_\mu a_\mu = 0$) clearly transform irreducibly. However, unless they are set equal to zero, they involve themselves in the transformations of the other eight components, A, B, ψ, F and G. *)

The setting to zero fo D, λ and a_μ can be viewed as a covariant constraint. In fact one can construct an axial vector which generalizes the well-known Pauli-Lubanski operator,

$$K_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\kappa\rho} P_\nu J_{\kappa\rho} - \frac{1}{4} \bar{S} i \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 S , \tag{13}$$

whose transverse part is super-gauge invariant. Thus, the antisymmetric tensor

$$K_{\mu\nu} = P_\mu K_\nu - P_\nu K_\mu \tag{14}$$

commutes with both translations and super-gauge transformations. This operator is realized by the following differential expression:

$$K_{\mu\nu} \Phi = (X_{\mu\nu} C)_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_\alpha} \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\theta_\beta}$$

$$+ i(X_{\mu\nu} \gamma_\rho \theta)_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\rho} \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\theta_\alpha} - \frac{1}{4} \bar{\theta} X_{\mu\nu} \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\rho} \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x_\rho} , \tag{15}$$

where $X_{\mu\nu} = -(1/4)(\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\partial_\nu - \gamma_\nu\gamma_5\partial_\mu)$. The equations $K_{\mu\nu}\Phi = 0$ are solved by $D = \lambda = a = 0$.

The combining of representations into products is, at least in some cases, quite easy. One simply multiplies the super-fields. The detailed combinations of components will be revealed by expanding the result in powers of θ. For example, if

$$\Phi_3(x,\theta) = \Phi_1(x,\theta) \Phi_2(x,\theta)$$

---

*) We have adapted our notation here to that of Wess and Zumino, Ref.1. Thus, our Eq.(11) with λ, a_μ and D set equal to zero corresponds to their Eq.(8) with $\partial_\mu\alpha = 0$. Similarly, our (12) corresponds to their formulae on p.22.

then, using the components defined by (6),

$$\bar{\phi}_3 = \bar{\phi}_1 \bar{\phi}_2$$

$$\bar{\phi}_3^{\alpha} = \bar{\phi}_1 \bar{\phi}_2^{\alpha} + \bar{\phi}_1^{\alpha} \bar{\phi}_2$$

$$\bar{\phi}_3^{\alpha\beta} = \bar{\phi}_1 \bar{\phi}_2^{\alpha\beta} + \bar{\phi}_1^{\alpha} \bar{\phi}_2^{\beta} - \bar{\phi}_1^{\beta} \bar{\phi}_2^{\alpha} + \bar{\phi}_1^{\alpha\beta} \bar{\phi}_2$$

$$\bar{\phi}_3^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \bar{\phi}_1 \bar{\phi}_2^{\alpha\beta\gamma} + \sum_{\text{cyc.}} \left(\bar{\phi}_1^{\alpha} \bar{\phi}_2^{[\beta\gamma]} + \bar{\phi}_1^{\alpha\beta} \bar{\phi}_2^{\gamma} \right) + \bar{\phi}_1^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \bar{\phi}_2$$

$$\bar{\phi}_3^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \bar{\phi}_1 \bar{\phi}_2^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} + \sum \bar{\phi}_1^{\alpha} \bar{\phi}_2^{\beta\gamma\delta} + \sum \bar{\phi}_1^{\alpha\beta} \bar{\phi}_2^{\gamma\delta} + \sum \bar{\phi}_1^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \bar{\phi}_2^{\delta} + \bar{\phi}_1 \bar{\phi}_2^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \, . \tag{16}$$

In particular, with $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2$ satisfying $K_{\mu\nu} \Phi = 0$, it is a simple matter to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\phi}_3^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &= \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \left(-\frac{1}{4} A \, \partial^2 A + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi + \frac{1}{4} (\partial_\mu B)^2 + F^2 + G^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu A)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu B)^2 + \bar{\psi} i \not{\partial} \psi + 2F^2 + 2G^2 - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu (A \partial_\mu A) \right) . \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

According to the rules (9) this object must transform by a gradient. Its space-time integral is invariant. Wess and Zumino have proposed to use it as a Lagrangian density.[1),3)]

The approach discussed in this paper may not prove to be the most serviceable one available but, with the present hazy understanding of this curious and potentially important symmetry, it seems worthwhile to examine every avenue. *)

---

*) From relations (1) and (2), it appears that the Wess-Zumino formalism may have close connections with the Twistor formalism of Penrose (Ref.4), though the motivations of the two formalisms are apparently completely different.

REFERENCES

1) J. Wess and B. Zumino, CERN preprint TH.1753 (1973).

2) A. Neveu and J.H. Schwartz, Nucl. Phys. B31, 86 (1971);
P. Ramond, Phys. Rev. D3, 2415 (1971);
Y. Aharonov, A. Casher and L. Susskind, Phys. Letters 35B, 512 (1971);
J-L. Gervais and B. Sakita, Nucl. Phys. B34, 633 (1971);
Y. Iwasaki and K. Kikkawa, City College (NY) preprint (1973).

3) J. Wess and B. Zumino, CERN preprint TH-1794 (1973).

4) R. Penrose and M.A.H. MacCullum, Phys. Reports 6C, No.4 (1973).